



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/594,960 | 09/29/2006 | Bernadette Pignol | 58767.000018 | 6711 |
| 21967 | 7590 | 09/17/2009 | EXAMINER | |
| HUNTON & WILLIAMS LLP | | | ZAREK, PAUL E | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT | | | | |
| 1900 K STREET, N.W. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SUITE 1200 | | | | 1617 |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/17/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/594,960 | PIGNOL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Paul Zarek | 1617 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul Zarek. (3) Ricardo Moran.
 (2) San-Ming Hui. (4) Robert Schulman.

Date of Interview: 27 August 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed potential claim language to overcome 112 1st rejection. Discussed Pignol, et al., to rebut standing 103 rejection. Applicant will consider filing supplemental claims..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Paul Zarek/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1617